

Exploring the Intersection of CSR and Management: A Synthesis of Existing Literature

Dr. Hardeep Kaur

Assistant Professor in Commerce

RR BAWA DAV College For Girls, Batala

Abstract

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) has evolved from a peripheral business function to a strategic priority that influences managerial decision-making across industries. This paper aims to explore the intersection of CSR and management by synthesizing existing literature, focusing on how CSR principles are integrated into managerial practices, strategies, and leadership approaches. The review highlights the growing recognition of CSR as a driver of long-term value, organizational legitimacy, and stakeholder trust. It examines various theoretical frameworks, including stakeholder theory, institutional theory, and the resource-based view, to understand how CSR shapes and is shaped by managerial actions. The paper also investigates key challenges faced by managers in operationalizing CSR, such as balancing profit with purpose, aligning CSR with corporate goals, and measuring social impact. By analyzing both academic studies and practical case examples, the paper provides a comprehensive overview of the evolving role of management in implementing socially responsible strategies. The findings underscore the need for a paradigm shift in management thinking—towards more sustainable, ethical, and stakeholder-oriented leadership. This synthesis offers valuable insights for researchers, practitioners, and educators seeking to deepen their understanding of CSR's strategic integration in the management domain.

Keywords: Corporate Social Responsibility, Management, Strategic Leadership, Stakeholder Theory, Sustainable Business, CSR Integration.

1.Introduction

In recent decades, Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) has transitioned from a philanthropic or compliance-driven activity to a core element of business strategy and organizational identity. The integration of CSR into the management domain reflects a growing recognition that businesses are not only economic entities but also social actors accountable to a wide range of stakeholders (Carroll & Shabana, 2010). As such, managers are increasingly expected to incorporate ethical, environmental, and social considerations into strategic planning, leadership, and operational decisions.

The intersection of CSR and management has become a rich field of inquiry, encompassing perspectives from strategic management, organizational behavior, and sustainability studies (Aguinis & Glavas, 2012). The shift from shareholder to stakeholder-centric models has further emphasized the managerial responsibility to balance economic goals with social and environmental stewardship (Freeman, 1984). Managers play a crucial role in translating CSR values into actionable strategies, fostering a culture of responsibility, and ensuring alignment between corporate objectives and societal expectations (Porter & Kramer, 2006).

Moreover, the growing global emphasis on Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) metrics, as well as the United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), has placed CSR at the forefront of managerial agendas. Companies are now evaluated not only on financial performance but also on their social impact and ethical governance, placing pressure on management to align CSR initiatives with core business strategies (Kolk, 2016). In this evolving landscape, CSR is no longer viewed as a peripheral activity, but as a central function of responsible and forward-thinking leadership.

Despite the increasing prominence of CSR in academic and business discourse, the literature remains fragmented, with varied interpretations of how CSR is conceptualized, implemented, and evaluated within management contexts. Some studies highlight CSR as a value-creating strategic asset, while others critique its implementation

as symbolic or superficial (Banerjee, 2008). This divergence underscores the need for a comprehensive synthesis of the existing literature to identify recurring themes, theoretical foundations, and gaps in current understanding.

This paper aims to bridge that gap by conducting a thorough literature-based investigation into how CSR intersects with management theory and practice. By analyzing key scholarly contributions, this review seeks to offer a clearer understanding of CSR's strategic integration in modern management and to highlight directions for future research and organizational practice.

2. Review of Literature

Freeman (1984) revolutionized corporate theory by introducing Stakeholder Theory, emphasizing that organizations must consider the interests of all stakeholders, not just shareholders. His work laid the groundwork for understanding CSR as a managerial responsibility, urging leaders to balance ethical, social, and business priorities. Managers are seen as central actors who must navigate the competing demands of various groups while sustaining organizational success. This framework continues to shape CSR policies and strategic decision-making by promoting inclusivity, long-term value creation, and ethical accountability in leadership practices across different sectors and industries.

Carroll (1991) proposed a structured framework through his CSR pyramid, outlining four dimensions of corporate responsibility: economic, legal, ethical, and philanthropic. He emphasized that managers must address not only profit but also broader obligations to society and stakeholders. This model supports the integration of CSR into day-to-day managerial activities and underlines that responsible leadership involves more than compliance—it requires a genuine commitment to societal well-being. The pyramid continues to guide businesses in designing socially responsible strategies that align with both stakeholder expectations and corporate goals, encouraging a moral and inclusive perspective on business management.

Porter and Kramer (2006) reframed CSR as a means of gaining competitive advantage by linking it directly to core business strategy. They introduced the concept of Creating Shared Value, where companies simultaneously pursue economic success and address societal issues. Managers are encouraged to view CSR not as a cost but as a long-term investment in innovation, market development, and stakeholder relations. Their approach redefines the role of management in social responsibility, positioning leaders as innovators who can transform social challenges into business opportunities that benefit both the company and the community.

Aguinis and Glavas (2012) synthesized a vast array of CSR literature and offered a multilevel framework for understanding CSR's impact on organizations and leadership. Their review highlights the significance of CSR in shaping leadership behavior, employee attitudes, and organizational culture. They point out that managers are pivotal in translating CSR principles into practice, depending on personal values and contextual factors. This comprehensive analysis urges scholars and practitioners to examine how internal leadership dynamics influence CSR effectiveness, suggesting that genuine engagement must stem from a deep organizational and managerial commitment rather than external pressures.

Waldman, Siegel, and Javidan (2006) explored how transformational leadership influences CSR engagement, finding that leaders who inspire and act with integrity are more likely to implement socially responsible strategies. Their study emphasizes the psychological and behavioral aspects of leadership in CSR adoption. Managers with visionary traits and ethical motivation foster organizational cultures that prioritize sustainability, transparency, and stakeholder welfare. This research strengthens the view that leadership style is not just a background factor but a core driver of how CSR is embedded into business strategy, guiding both internal decision-making and external reputation.

Banerjee (2008) critically examined the gap between CSR rhetoric and practice, arguing that many firms engage in CSR merely for marketing purposes, rather than a genuine commitment to societal issues. He highlighted the risk of **greenwashing**, where CSR activities are presented in a way that misrepresents their actual impact. His research calls for deeper investigation into the authenticity of CSR strategies and urges managers to focus on creating real, measurable social value rather than engaging in symbolic actions. This critical perspective

challenges business leaders to move beyond superficial CSR initiatives and adopt more meaningful approaches that contribute to sustainable change.

Orlitzky, Schmidt, and Rynes (2003) conducted a meta-analysis to examine the relationship between CSR and financial performance. Their findings revealed a generally positive correlation between CSR and improved organizational outcomes, such as profitability, reputation, and stakeholder trust. This study supports the notion that CSR is not only a moral or ethical responsibility but can also enhance financial performance when effectively integrated into business strategies. Managers are encouraged to view CSR as a long-term investment that can yield both social and financial dividends, thus reinforcing the importance of strategic CSR in contemporary management practices.

Muller and Kolk (2010) investigated the drivers of CSR in multinational firms, distinguishing between extrinsic pressures (such as regulatory compliance) and intrinsic motivations (such as ethical values or organizational culture). They found that firms with strong ethical cultures are more likely to adopt proactive CSR strategies, even in the absence of regulatory mandates. This highlights the critical role of managers in cultivating an internal environment that supports responsible business practices. Their study emphasizes that while external pressures play a role, managerial leadership and internal values are key factors in successful CSR implementation.

Bhattacharya, Korschun, and Sen (2009) explored how CSR initiatives influence stakeholder relationships, particularly focusing on the mutual benefits that arise from corporate social responsibility. They argue that CSR can enhance customer loyalty, employee engagement, and community trust by addressing shared interests and values. The study highlights that managers who prioritize stakeholder relationships are more likely to foster sustainable engagement and long-term value creation. Their work underscores the importance of viewing CSR not as a one-way transaction but as a process of mutual benefit and reciprocal value between businesses and their stakeholders.

Sharma and Vredenburg (1998) examined how companies develop proactive environmental strategies as part of their CSR initiatives, identifying the organizational capabilities needed to address environmental concerns. They argue that CSR-driven environmental management can lead to competitive advantages by fostering innovation and improving efficiency. Managers, therefore, are encouraged to recognize the strategic potential of CSR, particularly in sectors where environmental responsibility can lead to differentiation, market share growth, and regulatory compliance. This work highlights the role of management in driving CSR as a core strategic component in sustainable business practices.

DiMaggio and Powell (1983) introduced the concept of ****institutional isomorphism****, explaining how organizations adopt CSR practices due to social pressures, norms, and regulatory frameworks within their industry or environment. They argued that businesses often mimic CSR strategies adopted by successful competitors, even in the absence of direct performance outcomes. For managers, this theory underscores the importance of understanding external pressures and how institutional forces shape organizational behavior. Managers are thus positioned as key decision-makers who must navigate these pressures while maintaining the integrity of their CSR commitments.

Donaldson and Preston (1995) elaborated on the normative foundations of Stakeholder Theory, emphasizing the ethical responsibilities managers have toward all stakeholders. Their work addresses how CSR activities should not be driven solely by profit motives but by a broader consideration of social good and fairness. This perspective challenges managers to broaden their decision-making processes and account for the interests of all parties affected by corporate actions. It encourages managers to adopt a more ethical, inclusive, and socially responsible approach to leadership and corporate governance.

Kolk (2016) examined the global dimensions of CSR, focusing on multinational companies' approaches to corporate responsibility. The study explored how CSR strategies vary across different cultural and institutional contexts, highlighting the need for managers to adapt their CSR practices to local expectations and norms. This research is essential for understanding how international businesses navigate global CSR issues, such as human rights, environmental protection, and labor standards. It underscores the role of managers in tailoring CSR strategies to local realities while ensuring alignment with global corporate values and standards.

Greenwood (2007) reviewed stakeholder engagement strategies and emphasized the importance of two-way communication between firms and their stakeholders. She argued that CSR initiatives are most effective when stakeholders are involved in the process through active dialogue, feedback, and transparency. For managers, this research emphasizes the necessity of creating platforms for stakeholder interaction and ensuring that CSR efforts are responsive to stakeholder concerns. It calls for a shift from traditional, top-down corporate communication to a more participatory and inclusive approach to CSR management.

Margolis and Walsh (2003) critiqued the widespread assumption that CSR inherently leads to positive financial outcomes. They pointed out the complexity of the relationship between CSR and company performance, arguing that CSR's benefits are not always immediate or directly measurable. This study highlights the need for managers to approach CSR with realistic expectations, understanding that its long-term value may not always be evident in short-term financial returns. It calls for a nuanced understanding of CSR's role in organizational strategy and emphasizes the need for further research into its impact on performance.

Research Gap

Despite the rich body of literature on Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR), several research gaps remain that warrant further exploration, particularly in the context of management practices. While Freeman (1984) and Carroll (1991) emphasize the importance of stakeholder engagement and ethical leadership, there is a lack of research on the specific decision-making processes that managers use to integrate CSR into their everyday management strategies. How managers navigate conflicting stakeholder interests and balance profitability with social responsibility remains underexplored, suggesting the need for research into the frameworks employed by managers when aligning CSR objectives with organizational goals.

Additionally, while Kolk (2016) highlights how CSR strategies are shaped by cultural, regulatory, and institutional contexts, there is insufficient exploration of the variability of CSR implementation** across different industries and regions. Studies comparing CSR practices in sectors like technology, healthcare, and manufacturing, as well as across emerging and developed markets, could offer valuable insights into the unique challenges and opportunities faced by managers in various geographical and industrial settings.

Although CSR frameworks such as Stakeholder Theory (Freeman, 1984) and Creating Shared Value (Porter & Kramer, 2006) have been widely discussed, there remains a significant gap in research regarding how these theoretical models are practically applied within organizations. Managers often face challenges in translating these frameworks into actionable strategies, and further research is needed to investigate the gap between theory and practice in CSR adoption.

Another gap exists in understanding the long-term impact of CSR on managerial leadership and organizational culture. While studies by Waldman et al. (2006) and Aguinis & Glavas (2012) address the role of leadership in CSR, there is a lack of longitudinal studies examining how sustained CSR efforts influence managerial behaviors and organizational values over time. This area of research would contribute to a deeper understanding of how CSR can shape corporate governance and ethical leadership practices in the long run.

Finally, while there is general consensus on the positive relationship between CSR and financial performance (Orlitzky et al., 2003), there is limited research on the metrics and tool that managers use to assess the impact of CSR on organizational performance. The development of standardized tools to measure the success of CSR initiatives would enhance the practical application of CSR within management. Addressing these gaps will not only deepen academic understanding but also provide valuable insights for managers aiming to implement more effective and impactful CSR strategies.

Objectives of the Study

1. To synthesize existing literature on the integration of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) into management practices and strategies.

2. To identify and analyze key theoretical frameworks and managerial approaches that underpin CSR implementation across organizations.

3. Research Methodology

This paper follows a secondary research approach, focusing on synthesizing existing literature on Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) and its integration into management practices. The aim is to provide a comprehensive overview of the intersection of CSR and management, building on the theoretical frameworks and empirical studies presented in previous research. Secondary research is particularly appropriate for this study as it allows for a thorough analysis of existing theories, concepts, and case studies, providing a solid foundation for understanding the evolution and current state of CSR in management.

Data Collection

The data for this research was collected from a wide range of peer-reviewed academic journals, books, conference papers, and industry reports published between 1980 and 2025. Key databases such as Google Scholar, JSTOR, and Scopus were used to identify relevant studies. The search was conducted using keywords such as "Corporate Social Responsibility," "CSR in management," "Stakeholder Theory," "Creating Shared Value," and "Managerial Decision-Making in CSR." To ensure the comprehensiveness of the literature review, the inclusion criteria were based on the relevance of the articles to the objectives of the study, their methodological rigor, and their impact on CSR research and practice.

Data Analysis

The data was analyzed using qualitative content analysis, which involves synthesizing and categorizing the findings from the selected articles. The analysis focused on identifying key themes, theoretical frameworks, and managerial practices related to CSR. The findings were then organized to explore how CSR is integrated into management, the impact of leadership on CSR adoption, and the role of various theoretical models (e.g. Stakeholder Theory, Creating Shared Value). Key similarities and differences between studies were examined to highlight trends, contradictions, and areas requiring further investigation.

Limitations

As this research relies on secondary data, the findings are constrained by the availability and scope of the existing literature. While efforts were made to select a diverse range of sources, the research is limited to the articles and studies accessible through the chosen databases. Additionally, since secondary research does not involve primary data collection, the study may lack insights into the real-time application of CSR in contemporary management practices. Future research could address these gaps by conducting case studies or primary data collection from managers and organizations actively engaged in CSR initiatives.

4. Discussion

This chapter aims to discuss the findings based on the two main objectives of the research. The first objective is to synthesize existing literature on CSR and its integration into management practices, while the second objective focuses on analyzing the key theoretical frameworks that guide CSR implementation within organizational contexts.

4.1 : Synthesis of CSR in Management Practice

The integration of CSR into management practices has become a critical area of focus for businesses worldwide. According to Freeman (1984), managers play a pivotal role in balancing the competing interests of various stakeholders, which includes customers, employees, suppliers, investors, and the community. Freeman's Stakeholder Theory laid the foundation for understanding CSR as an ethical responsibility of managers, urging them to consider the long-term interests of society alongside corporate profitability. This notion is further developed by Carroll (1991), who introduced the CSR pyramid, demonstrating that managerial responsibility extends beyond just legal compliance to include ethical and philanthropic commitments to society.

A key theme emerging from the literature is the shift from viewing CSR as a peripheral or charitable activity to its integration into core business operations. Porter and Kramer (2006) argued that CSR can create shared value—a concept that encourages managers to align business strategies with societal needs. This perspective views CSR not merely as a cost but as a strategic tool that can enhance competitive advantage and foster innovation. By creating shared value, companies can address societal issues such as poverty, health, and education while simultaneously achieving business success. The role of managers in orchestrating these strategies is central to CSR's effectiveness, as they must evaluate and implement policies that can benefit both the company and society (Porter & Kramer, 2006).

Another critical aspect of CSR integration into management is the importance of leadership commitment. According to Waldman et al. (2006), transformational leadership, which emphasizes vision, integrity, and ethical responsibility, is particularly influential in the successful adoption of CSR practices. Leaders who inspire employees and foster a culture of transparency are better equipped to drive CSR initiatives that align with organizational values. Similarly, Aguinis and Glavas (2012) highlight that CSR activities are more likely to succeed when managers lead by example, modeling responsible behavior and making CSR an integral part of organizational culture.

However, while the literature emphasizes the importance of CSR in management practices, there is still some debate about its actual implementation. Banerjee (2008) pointed out the gap between CSR rhetoric and practice, arguing that many companies engage in CSR merely for marketing purposes, often resulting in green washing—the presentation of misleading or superficial CSR activities. For managers, this highlights the importance of ensuring that CSR efforts go beyond tokenism and result in meaningful, long-term societal impact. Managers are encouraged to move beyond short-term promotional CSR strategies and engage in authentic practices that contribute to the company's social and environmental objectives.

Moreover, contextual factors significantly influence the way CSR is implemented in management practices. Kolk (2016) explored the global dimensions of CSR, noting that multinational companies must adapt their CSR strategies based on local cultural and regulatory conditions. In this regard, the role of managers becomes even more complex, as they must balance global CSR goals with the specific needs and expectations of local stakeholders. This contextual adaptability in CSR is further supported by Sharma and Vredenburg (1998), who emphasized that proactive environmental strategies could lead to competitive advantages if managers understand the specific environmental concerns of different regions.

In summary, the integration of CSR into management practices is influenced by a combination of stakeholder needs, leadership commitment, ethical decision-making, and contextual adaptation. Managers must not only focus on business profitability but also on creating long-term value that benefits society, ensuring that CSR is embedded into the core organizational strategy.

4.2: Analysis of Key Theoretical Frameworks of CSR

The second objective of this paper is to analyze the key theoretical frameworks that have shaped CSR implementation in management. Two of the most widely adopted frameworks in CSR literature are Stakeholder Theory (Freeman, 1984) and Creating Shared Value (Porter & Kramer, 2006), which provide different lenses through which managers can approach CSR.

Freeman's Stakeholder Theory has been foundational in understanding CSR as a managerial responsibility that extends beyond shareholders to include various stakeholders such as customers, employees, suppliers, and communities. Freeman's argument that businesses should create value for all stakeholders rather than prioritizing only shareholder interests has been central to CSR theory (Freeman, 1984). Managers, according to this theory, are responsible for balancing the often conflicting interests of these groups while making decisions that ensure long-term business success. This theory has been extensively used to understand CSR from a managerial perspective, suggesting that CSR activities should align with organizational goals but also be mindful of societal concerns.

On the other hand, Creating Shared Value (Porter & Kramer, 2006) redefined CSR by emphasizing that companies can create value by addressing societal problems. In their framework, Porter and Kramer argue that CSR should not be viewed as a cost or philanthropic gesture but as a strategic tool that can lead to competitive advantage and market expansion. Their idea that businesses can simultaneously pursue economic success and societal welfare has reshaped the way managers view CSR. By focusing on shared value, managers can integrate social and environmental issues into their business strategies in ways that generate benefits for both the company and society (Porter & Kramer, 2006). This framework is especially relevant in industries where CSR can provide differentiation and innovation, such as in sustainable development and clean technologies.

A significant contribution to the theoretical discussion comes from Aguinis and Glavas (2012), who provided a comprehensive review of CSR theories and practices. Their multilevel framework highlights the role of individual leadership, organizational culture, and institutional contexts in shaping CSR activities. They argue that CSR is not just about corporate strategy but also about internal organizational dynamics, with leaders playing a critical role in fostering CSR initiatives. The authors emphasize the importance of integrating CSR into the core values and culture of the organization, as this ensures that CSR is sustained over time.

However, the literature also reveals some limitations in the application of these theoretical frameworks. While Stakeholder Theory provides a broad view of CSR, it does not offer practical guidance on how to balance the diverse interests of stakeholders in a managerial context. Managers often face challenges in determining whose interests should be prioritized, especially when stakeholders' demands conflict (Muller & Kolk, 2010). Moreover, the Creating Shared Value framework, while useful in strategic planning, may oversimplify the complexities involved in aligning business goals with societal needs, especially in less developed markets where social challenges may be more pressing (Banerjee, 2008).

In addition to these frameworks, Institutional Theory (DiMaggio & Powell, 1983) has been influential in understanding how external pressures, such as regulatory demands and social norms, shape CSR practices. According to this theory, organizations often adopt CSR practices because of institutional pressure, leading to conformity rather than innovation. While this theory provides valuable insights into the external drivers of CSR, it often overlooks the role of internal leadership in shaping CSR decisions, which is a critical component of managerial practice (Greenwood, 2007).

In conclusion, while Stakeholder Theory and Creating Shared Value offer valuable theoretical insights into CSR, the integration of these frameworks into management practice remains complex. Managers must navigate competing stakeholder interests, align CSR with core business strategies, and ensure that CSR initiatives lead to genuine societal impact. Further research is needed to refine these theoretical models and develop practical tools that managers can use to effectively implement CSR strategies across different organizational contexts.

Conclusion

This paper provides a comprehensive synthesis of existing literature on the integration of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) into management practices and the theoretical frameworks that guide CSR implementation. The analysis reveals that CSR is no longer a peripheral activity but a central element of strategic management, creating opportunities for businesses to achieve long-term success while addressing societal challenges. The integration of CSR into management requires strong leadership, commitment to ethical practices, and a focus on creating shared value, as highlighted by key frameworks like Stakeholder Theory (Freeman, 1984) and Creating Shared Value (Porter & Kramer, 2006).

The findings indicate that while CSR has gained widespread recognition, there is still a gap between CSR rhetoric and actual implementation, with some companies engaging in greenwashing. Managers must ensure that CSR practices are authentic, measurable, and aligned with long-term sustainability goals. Moreover, CSR implementation is influenced by contextual factors such as industry, geography, and local regulatory environments, underscoring the complexity of CSR decision-making.

Future research should focus on developing practical decision-making frameworks and standardized metrics to assess the impact of CSR initiatives more effectively. Additionally, further exploration of how CSR can be integrated into managerial decision-making processes, especially in diverse organizational contexts, will help bridge the gap between theory and practice.

In conclusion, CSR is a vital tool for sustainable management, and managers play a crucial role in ensuring that CSR is not only strategically implemented but also delivers real value to both the organization and society. By embracing CSR as part of the core business strategy, organizations can foster long-term success while contributing to broader societal well-being.

References

- Agle, B. R., Mitchell, R. K., & Sonnenfeld, J. A. (1999). Who matters to CEOs? An investigation of stakeholder attributes and salience, corporate performance, and CEO values. *Academy of Management Journal*, 42(5), 507-525.
- Barney, J. B. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of Management*, 17(1), 99-120.
- Birch, D., & Daddow, L. (2012). Managing corporate social responsibility in global markets. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 21(5), 319-332.
- Carroll, A. B., & Shabana, K. M. (2010). The business case for corporate social responsibility: A review of concepts, research and practice. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 12(1), 85-105.
- Dahlsrud, A. (2008). How corporate social responsibility is defined: An analysis of 37 definitions. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 15(1), 1-13.
- Harrison, J., Bosse, D. A., & Phillips, R. A. (2010). Managing for stakeholders, stakeholder utility functions, and competitive advantage. *Strategic Management Journal*, 31(1), 58-74.
- Jamali, D. (2008). A stakeholder approach to corporate social responsibility: A fresh perspective into theory and practice. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 82(1), 213-231.
- Kolk, A., & van Tulder, R. (2002). The effect of environmental and social performance on the financial performance of firms: A review of the literature. *International Business Review*, 11(5), 1007-1033.
- Lee, M. P. (2008). A review of the theories of corporate social responsibility: Its evolutionary path and research development. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 10(1), 53-73.
- Maignan, I., & Ferrell, O. C. (2004). Corporate social responsibility and marketing: An integrative framework. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 32(1), 3-19.
- McWilliams, A., & Siegel, D. (2001). Corporate social responsibility: A theory of the firm perspective. *Academy of Management Review*, 26(1), 117-127.
- Peloza, J. (2009). The challenge of measuring financial impacts of CSR. *Business Horizons*, 52(1), 6-15.
- Schrempf-Stirling, J., Palazzo, G., & Phillips, R. A. (2016). CSR as a micro-institution: The structural context and dynamics of corporate social responsibility. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Governance*, 9(2), 230-248.
- Van der Laan, S., van der Laan, A., & de Lange, R. (2011). Corporate social responsibility and financial performance: The role of stakeholder orientation. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 18(5), 283-297.
- Wood, D. J. (1991). Corporate social performance revisited. *Academy of Management Review*, 16(4), 691-718.